

Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement of Sarajevo Faculty of Law Yearbook

The Yearbook of the Faculty of Law in Sarajevo is an academic scientific journal that adheres to the highest standards of ethics in editorial work, principles of the Code of Ethics of Sarajevo University, as well as the principles of the Code of Conduct for Journal Editors of the Committee on Publication Ethics. Sincerity, originality and integrity of authors, as well as fairness, objectivity and confidentiality by editors and reviewers are the values on which the editing and publishing of Sarajevo Faculty of Law Yearbook are based on.

In order to offer high quality content to the reading audience, we present the following ethical principles of the editorial work which need to be abided by the authors, reviewers and publisher of the Yearbook.

If it is discovered that the paper submitted for publication does not correspond to these principles, it will not be published in the Yearbook.

The papers are subject to double-blind peer review. Through the process of reviewing and editing, the possibility of potential plagiarism and falsification of content is closely monitored. In the case of plagiarism, Editorial Board informs the author about it, and takes other measures as well.

Authors must guarantee for the originality of the publicised papers. Authors are expected to properly mark the words of other authors, associates or sources. Authors who submit papers for the Yearbook must guarantee that the papers are their original work, and that neither the segments nor the whole paper have been plagiarised without specifying the sources used. Any segment of the paper that represents a citation or paraphrase of someone else's words or papers must be specified by a reference signifying the original source. Authors should draw attention to potential financial or other conflicts of interest that could influence the results or the interpretation of their papers. If authors discover a mistake in the paper, they have a duty to inform the Editorial Board of the Yearbook about this and offer support in order to remove the mistake or withdraw the paper. Authors also have a duty to correct their papers in line with the recommendations of the reviewers, for the purpose of ensuring the quality of papers. All papers are submitted in electronic form.

Publisher ensures double-blind peer review of papers submitted for publishing. Publisher will try to prevent any potential conflict of interest between the author and publisher. Publisher also guarantees to keep the data relating to submitted papers in secrecy until the publication, and if the paper fails to meet the criteria for publication, the data remains permanently secret. The publisher reserves the right to verify whether the papers are original by any means necessary (software to detect plagiarism and similar).

Publisher strives to meet the needs of authors and readers and constantly works on improving the quality of publication. The review process by renowned reviewers ensures the quality of papers that are published in the Yearbook. Publisher ensures the freedom of expression to authors. Publisher is always ready to subsequently publicise corrections, clarifications or apologies, if necessary.

The editor undertakes reasonable measures if he is presented with ethical complaints to a paper submitted for publication or an already publicised paper, in cooperation with the Editorial Board. These measures stipulate that the author needs to be informed about the complaints with clear clarification of the received complaints, but they may also include the communication with competent institutions and investigative bodies. If the complaint turns out to be true, the publisher withdraws the paper without publication or prints a correction, expression of concern or some other relevant remark. Editorial staff has a duty to inspect every unethical action in relation to the publicised papers, regardless of when the paper was publicised.

Reviewers evaluate the papers anonymously based on the content and regardless of ethnic background, gender, sexual orientation, citizenship, religious beliefs or political philosophy of the author. They have a duty to keep all information on the submitted manuscripts in secrecy and to inform the editor-in-chief if they notice that authors are in violation of copyrights or if their paper is plagiarised. They evaluate the papers objectively and clearly clarify their evaluation in the review form. If reviewers come to learn that they are unqualified to evaluate the paper or that they are unable to evaluate it, they have a duty to notify the editor-in-chief.